

Stokes' Theorem.

Let n be a positive integer, let V be an open subset of \mathbf{R}^n and let m be an integer such that $1 \leq m \leq n$.

Stokes' Theorem will follow rather directly from the definition of the integral of a differential form over a submanifold and the following Proposition.

Proposition. Suppose $\psi \in \mathcal{A}_0^{m-1}(\mathbf{U}^m)$. Then

$$(1) \quad \int_{\mathbf{U}^m} d\psi(t)(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_m) dt = 0$$

and

$$(2) \quad \int_{\mathbf{U}^{m,m,+}} d\psi(t)(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_m) dt = (-1)^m \int_{\mathbf{U}^{m-1}} \mathbf{i}_{m-1,m}^\# \psi(s)(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{m-1}) ds.$$

Proof. For each $j = 1, \dots, m$ set $f_j = \mathbf{e}^j \wedge \omega$. We have

$$d\psi = \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{e}^j \wedge \partial_j \psi = \sum_{j=1}^m \partial_j f_j.$$

From Fubini's Theorem and the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus we conclude that (1) holds and that

$$\int_{\mathbf{U}^{m-1,m,+}} d\psi(t)(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_m) dt = - \int_{\mathbf{U}^{m-1,m,+}} f_m(t) dt = - \int_{\mathbf{U}^{m-1}} f_m \circ \mathbf{i}_{m-1,m}(s) ds.$$

For any $t \in \mathbf{U}^m$ we have

$$-f_m(t) = (-1)^m (\mathbf{e}^m \wedge \psi(t)) \lrcorner \mathbf{e}_m(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{m-1}) = (-1)^m \psi(t)(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{m-1});$$

moreover, for any $s \in \mathbf{U}^{m-1}$ we have that

$$(-1)^m \mathbf{i}_{m-1,m}^\# \psi(s)(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{m-1}) = \psi(\mathbf{i}_{m-1,m}(s))(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{m-1})$$

so (2) holds. \square

Stokes' Theorem. Suppose $M \in \mathbf{M}_{m,n}$ and \mathbf{s} is an orientation for M and $\partial \mathbf{s}$ orients ∂M . Then

$$\int_M \omega = \int_{\partial M} d\omega \quad \text{whenever } \omega \in \mathcal{A}_0^{m-1}(V).$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be an admissible subfamily of $\mathcal{Q}(M, V)$. We have

$$d\omega = d\left(\sum_{(U,\phi,\chi) \in \mathcal{A}} \chi \right) \omega = d \sum_{(U,\phi,\chi) \in \mathcal{A}} \chi \omega = \sum_{(U,\phi,\chi) \in \mathcal{A}} d(\chi \omega)$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M d\omega &= \sum_{(U,\phi,\chi) \in \mathcal{A}} \int_M d(\chi \omega) \\ &= \sum_{(U,\phi,\chi) \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbf{s}_\bullet(U, \phi) \int_{\phi^{-1}[M]} \phi^\# d(\chi \omega)(t)(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_m) dt \\ &= \sum_{(U,\phi,\chi) \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbf{s}_\bullet(U, \phi) \int_{\phi^{-1}[M]} d(\phi^\#(\chi \omega))(t)(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_m) dt. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\omega = \left(\sum_{(U, \phi, \chi) \in \mathcal{A}} \chi \right) \omega = \sum_{(U, \phi, \chi) \in \mathcal{A}} \chi \omega;$$

keeping in mind that $(U, \phi \circ \mathbf{i}_{m-1, m}) \in \mathcal{P}(\partial M, V)$ whenever $(U, \phi) \in \mathcal{P}(M, V)$ we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial M} \omega &= \sum_{(U, \phi, \chi) \in \mathcal{A}} \int_{\partial M} \chi \omega \\ &= \sum_{(U, \phi, \chi) \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbf{s}_{\partial \mathbf{o}}(U, \phi \circ \mathbf{i}_{m-1, m}) \int_{\phi^{-1}[\partial M]} (\phi \circ \mathbf{i}_{m-1, m})^\#(\chi \omega)(t)(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{m-1}) dt \\ &= \sum_{(U, \phi, \chi) \in \mathcal{A}} (-1)^m \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{o}}(U, \phi) \int_{\phi^{-1}[\partial M]} \mathbf{i}_{m-1, m}^\#(\phi^\#(\chi \omega))(t)(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{m-1}) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $(U, \phi, \chi) \in \mathcal{Q}(M, V)$. Then exactly one of the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{-1}[M] &= \mathbf{U}^m & \text{and} & & \phi^{-1}[\partial M] &= \emptyset; \\ \phi^{-1}[M] &= \mathbf{U}^{m, m, +} & \text{and} & & \phi^{-1}[\partial M] &= \mathbf{U}^{m-1, m}; \\ \phi^{-1}[M] &= \emptyset & \text{and} & & \phi^{-1}[\partial M] &= \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the previous Proposition with ψ there equal $\phi^\#(\chi \omega)$ we find that

$$\int_{\phi^{-1}[M]} d(\phi^\#(\chi \omega))(t)(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_m) dt = (-1)^m \int_{\phi^{-1}[\partial M]} \mathbf{i}_{m-1, m}^\#(\phi^\#(\chi \omega))(t)(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{m-1}) dt.$$

□