

## 1. BINARY OPERATIONS.

Suppose  $X$  is a set

**Definition 1.1.** We say  $\beta$  is a **binary operation on  $X$**  if

$$\beta : X \times X \rightarrow X.$$

We say such a binary operation  $\beta$  is **commutative** or **Abelian** if

$$\beta(x, y) = \beta(y, x) \quad \text{whenever } x, y \in X.$$

**Definition 1.2.** We say  $e \in X$  is an **identity element (for the binary operation  $\beta$  on  $X$ )** if

$$\beta(x, e) = x \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(e, x) = x \quad \text{whenever } x \in X.$$

If  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are identity elements for  $\beta$  we have

$$e_1 = \beta(e_1, e_2) = e_2.$$

Thus an identity element for a binary operation, if it exists, is unique and we may speak of *the* identity element for the binary operation.

**Definition 1.3.** We say the binary operation  $\beta$  on  $X$  is **associative** if

$$\beta(\beta(x_1, x_2), x_3) = \beta(x_1, \beta(x_2, x_3)) \quad \text{whenever } x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X.$$

Suppose  $\beta$  is associative. For each positive integer  $n \geq 2$  and each  $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  we define the map

$$\beta_{j,n} : X^n \rightarrow X^{n-1}$$

on  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$  by requiring the  $i$ -th coordinate of its image under  $\beta_{j,n}$  to be  $x_i$  if  $i < j$ ; to be  $\beta(x_j, x_{j+1})$  if  $i = j$ ; and to be  $x_{i+1}$  if  $j < i \leq n-1$ . We set

$$\beta_n = \beta_{1,2} \circ \dots \circ \beta_{1,n-1} \circ \beta_{1,n}.$$

Note that

$$\beta_n : X^n \rightarrow X.$$

We leave it to the reader to prove that

$$\beta_{\mu(1),2} \circ \dots \circ \beta_{\mu(n-2),n-1} \circ \beta_{\mu(n-1),n} = \beta_n$$

whenever  $\mu : \{1, \dots, n-1\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$  is such that  $\mu(i) < i+1$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ , thus verifying the **general associative law**. One frequently writes

$$x_1 \cdots x_n$$

instead of  $\beta_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

**Definition 1.4.** Suppose  $\beta$  is a binary operation on  $X$  with identity  $e$ . Suppose  $x \in X$ . We say  $w$  is a **left inverse to  $x$**  if  $w \in X$  and  $\beta(w, x) = e$ . We say  $y$  is a **right inverse to  $x$**  if  $y \in X$  and  $\beta(x, y) = e$ . We say  $z$  is an **inverse to  $x$**  if  $z$  is a left inverse to  $x$  and  $z$  is a right inverse to  $x$ ; if  $z$  is the unique element with this property, we say  $z$  is *the inverse to  $x$* . We say  $x$  is **invertible** if there is an inverse to  $x$ .

Suppose  $\beta$  is associative. Suppose  $x \in X$ ,  $w$  is a left inverse to  $x$  and  $y$  is a right inverse to  $x$  then

$$w = we = w(xy) = (wx)y = ey = y.$$

Thus there is a unique left inverse to  $x$ , there is a unique right inverse to  $x$ , the unique left inverse to  $x$  equals the unique right inverse to  $x$  and this element is the unique inverse to  $x$ .

### 1.1. Groups.

**Definition 1.5.** A **group** is an ordered triple

$$(G, \mu, e)$$

such that  $G$  is a set,  $\mu$  is an associative binary operation on  $G$  with identity  $e$ , and every element of  $G$  is invertible. It is customary to say

“ $G$  is a group”

instead of “ $(G, \mu, e)$  is a group”. Very often one writes

$$gh$$

for  $\mu(g, h)$  and one writes

$$g^{-1}$$

for the inverse to the element  $g$  of  $G$ . When  $G$  is Abelian, very often one writes

$$0$$

for the identity element,

$$g + h$$

for  $gh$  whenever  $g, h \in G$  and one writes

$$-g$$

for  $g^{-1}$  whenever  $g \in G$ .

**1.2. Finite summation.** Let  $X$  be a set.

**1.3. Finite summation.** Suppose  $Y$  is a set and

$$\cdot + \cdot : Y \times Y \rightarrow Y$$

is such that

- (i)  $x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$  whenever  $x, y, z \in Y$ ;
- (ii)  $x + y = y + x$  whenever  $x, y \in Y$ ;
- (iii) there is  $0 \in Y$  such that  $y + 0 = y = 0 + y$  whenever  $y \in Y$ .

For example,  $Y$  could be an Abelian group or  $Y$  could be  $[0, \infty]$  where  $+$  on  $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$  is addition in the Abelian group of  $\mathbb{R}$  and where

$$y + \infty = \infty = \infty + y \quad \text{whenever } y \in [0, \infty).$$

**Definition 1.6.** For  $f, g \in Y^X$  we define  $f + g \in Y^X$  by letting

$$(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) \quad \text{for } x \in X$$

and we note that appropriately reformulated versions of (i),(ii) and (iii) hold. We let

$$0 : X \rightarrow Y$$

be such that  $0(x) = 0$  for  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 1.7.** For  $f \in Y^X$  we let

$$\mathbf{spt} f = \{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\}$$

and call this subset of  $X$  the **support of  $f$** . We let

$$(Y^X)_0 = \{f \in Y^X : \mathbf{spt} f \text{ is finite}\}$$

and note that  $(Y^X)_0$  is closed under addition.

**Definition 1.8.** Whenever  $A \subset X$  and  $f \in Y^X$  we let

$$f_A \in Y^X$$

be such that

$$f_A(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in A, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in X \sim A. \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 1.1.** Suppose  $F$  is a finite subset of  $X$ . There is one and only one function

$$S_F : Y^X \rightarrow Y$$

such that

- (i)  $S_F(0) = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $S_F(f) = S(f_{X \sim \{a\}}) + f(a)$  whenever  $f \in Y^X$  and  $a \in A$ ;
- (iii)  $S_F(f + g) = S_F(f) + S_F(g)$  whenever  $f, g \in Y^X$ .

*Proof.* We define  $S_F$  by induction on  $|F|$  as follows. We let  $S_\emptyset(0) = 0$ . If  $|F| > 0$  we let

$$S_F = \{(f, S_{F \sim \{a\}}(f_{X \sim \{a\}}) + f(a)) : f \in \mathcal{F}_F \text{ and } a \in F\}.$$

It is obvious that  $S_F$  is a function if  $|F| = 1$ . To verify that  $S_F$  is a function in case  $|F| > 1$  we suppose  $f \in \mathcal{F}_F$ ,  $a, b \in F$  and  $a \neq b$  and we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} S_{F \sim \{a\}}(f_{X \sim \{a\}}) + f(a) &= (S_{F \sim \{a, b\}}(f_{X \sim \{a, b\}}) + f(b)) + f(a) \\ &= S_{F \sim \{a, b\}}(f_{X \sim \{a, b\}}) + (f(b) + f(a)) \\ &= S_{F \sim \{a, b\}}(f_{X \sim \{a, b\}}) + (f(a) + f(b)) \\ &= (S_{F \sim \{a, b\}}(f_{X \sim \{a, b\}} + f(a)) + f(b) \\ &= S_{F \sim \{b\}}(f_{X \sim \{b\}}) + f(b). \end{aligned}$$

We leave to the reader the straightforward verification using induction on  $|F|$  that  $S_F$  satisfies (i)-(iii).  $\square$

**1.4. Summation.** Let  $A$  be an Abelian group and let  $X$  be a set. Then  $A^X$  is an Abelian group with respect to pointwise addition: Given  $f, g \in A^X$  we set

$$(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) \quad \text{for } x \in X.$$

We let

$$(A^X)_0 = \{f \in A^X : \{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\} \text{ is finite}\}$$

and note that  $(A^X)_0$  is a subgroup of  $A^X$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** There is one and only one homomorphism

$$\Sigma : (A^X)_0 \rightarrow A$$

such that

$$\Sigma(f) = f(w)$$

if  $x \in X$  and  $f : X \rightarrow A$  is such that

$$f(x) = 0 \quad \text{if } x \in X \sim \{w\}.$$

*Proof.* For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \{f \in A^X : \mathbf{card} \{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\} = n\}.$$

Show by induction on  $n$  that there is one and only one function

$$S_n : \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow A$$

such that  $S_0(f) = 0$  if  $f \in \mathcal{F}_0$  and

$$S_n(f) = S_{n-1}(g) + f(w)$$

whenever  $n > 0$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ ,  $w \in X$ ,  $g(w) = 0$ , and

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} g(x) & \text{if } g(x) \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } g(x) = 0 \text{ and } x \neq w. \end{cases}$$

It will be necessary to use the associativity and commutativity of the group operation in carrying out the inductive step.

Show by induction on  $m$  that  $S_m|_{\mathcal{F}_n} = S_n$  whenever  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m > n$ . Let  $\Sigma = \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n$ .  $\square$

### 1.5. Rings.

**Definition 1.9.** A **ring** is an ordered quadruple

$$(R, \alpha, 0, \mu)$$

such that  $(R, \alpha, 0)$  is an Abelian group,  $\mu$  is a associative binary operation on  $R$  which is **distributive over**  $\alpha$ , by which we mean that

$$\mu(a, \alpha(b, c)) = \alpha(\mu(a, b), \mu(a, c)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\alpha(a, b), c) = \alpha(\mu(a, c), \mu(b, c))$$

whenever  $a, b, c \in R$ .

It is customary to say “ $R$  is a ring”  
instead of “ $(R, \alpha, \mu, 0)$  is a ring”. If  $a, b \in R$  we write

$$a + b \text{ for } \alpha(a, b) \text{ and } ab \text{ for } \mu(a, b).$$

Distributivity then amounts to

$$a(b + c) = ab + ac \quad \text{and} \quad (a + b)c = ac + bc \quad \text{whenever } a, b, c \in R.$$

We say the ring  $R$  is **commutative** if

$$ab = ba \quad \text{whenever } a, b \in R.$$

We say  $R$  is a ring with identity if there is  $1 \in R$  such that

$$1a = a = a1 \quad \text{whenever } a \in R.$$

We say the nonzero element  $a$  of the commutative ring  $R$  is a **divisor** of the element  $c \in R$  if there is there is  $b \in R$  such that  $c = ab$ .

We say  $D$  is an **integral domain** if  $R$  is a commutative ring with identity and  $0$  has no divisors.

**Definition 1.10.** An **ordering** for the ring  $R$  is a subset  $P$  of  $R$  such that

(i) for each  $a \in R$  exactly one of the following holds:

$$a \in P, \quad a = 0, \quad -a \in P;$$

(ii)  $a + b \in P$  and  $ab \in P$  whenever  $a, b \in P$ ;

If the  $R$  is a commutative ring  $R$  with identity which has an ordering then  $R$  is an integral domain. We say  $a \in R$  is **positive** if  $a \in P$  and we say  $a$  is **negative** if  $-a \in P$ .

Suppose  $P$  is an ordering for  $R$ . One easily verifies that

$$\leq = \{(a, b) : b - a \in P\}$$

is a linear ordering of  $R$

### 1.6. Fields.

**Definition 1.11.** A **field** is an ordered quintuple

$$(F, \alpha, 0, \mu, 1)$$

such that  $(F, \alpha, 0, \mu)$  is a ring and  $(F \sim \{0\}, \mu | (F \sim \{0\}) \times (F \sim \{0\}), 1)$  is an Abelian group. This last condition amounts to saying that  $\mu$  is commutative and that any  $x \in F \sim \{0\}$  has an inverse with respect to  $\mu$ .

1.6.1. *The field of quotients of an integral domain.* Suppose  $D$  is an integral domain. One easily verifies that

$$q = \{(a, b), (c, d) \in (R \times R \sim \{0\})^2 : ad = bc\}$$

is an equivalence relation on  $R \times (R \sim \{0\})$ . whenever  $(a, b) \in R \times (R \sim \{0\})$  we let

$$\frac{a}{b}$$

be the equivalence class of  $(a, b)$ . It is a simple exercise which we leave to the reader to verify that there are unique binary operations  $\alpha$  and  $\mu$  on  $\frac{D}{q}$  such that

$$\alpha\left(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}\right) = \frac{ad + bc}{bd} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu\left(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}\right) = \frac{ac}{bd} \quad \text{whenever } (a, b), (c, d) \in R \times (R \sim \{0\})$$

and that

$$\left(\frac{D}{q}, \alpha, \frac{0}{1}, \mu, \frac{1}{1}\right)$$

is a field. Moreover, if  $P$  is the set of positive elements of an ordering of  $D$  then

$$\frac{P}{d} = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} : a, b \in P \right\}$$

is an ordering of  $\frac{D}{q}$ .