

Ruler and compass constructions.

1. Definition. Suppose $S \subset \mathbf{C}$. We let

$$l(S)$$

be the set of lines in \mathbf{C} at least two points of which are in S . We let

$$c(S)$$

be the set of circles in \mathbf{C} whose centers are in S and at least one point of which is in S . We let $\gamma(S)$ be the set of points $z \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $z \in S$ or

$$z \in L_1 \cap L_2 \quad \text{for two nonparallel lines } L_1 \text{ and } L_2 \text{ in } l(S)$$

or

$$z \in L \cap C \quad \text{for some line } L \in l(S) \text{ and some circle } C \in c(S)$$

or

$$z \in C_1 \cap C_2 \quad \text{for two distinct circles } C_1 \text{ and } C_2 \text{ in } c(S).$$

2. Definition. We set

$$K = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma^n(\{0, 1\});$$

A point of K is said to be **constructible**.

Our goal is to prove the

3. Main Theorem. K is a subfield of \mathbf{C} each member of which is algebraic over \mathbf{Q} with degree a power of 2.

4. Theorem. Suppose $S \subset \mathbf{C}$ and $z \in \gamma(S)$. Then either $z \in \mathbf{Q}(S \cup \bar{S})$ or z is algebraic of degree 2 over $\mathbf{Q}(S \cup \bar{S})$.

Proof. Suppose for $i = 1, 2$ that w_i, z_i are distinct points of S

Part One. Suppose $w_1 z_2 - w_2 z_1 \neq 0$. Let

$$L_i = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : (\overline{z_i - w_i})z + (z_i - w_i)\bar{z} = (\overline{z_i - w_i})w_i + (z_i - w_i)\bar{w}_i\}$$

for $i = 1, 2$ and let z be the unique point of $L_1 \cap L_2$. By Cramer's Rule, $z \in \mathbf{Q}(S \cup \bar{S})$.

Part Two. Let

$$L = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : (\overline{z_1 - w_1})z + (z_1 - w_1)\bar{z} = (\overline{z_1 - w_1})w_1 + (z_1 - w_1)\bar{w}_1\},$$

let

$$C = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z - w_2| = |z_2 - w_2|\}$$

and suppose $z \in L \cap C$. Note that the defining equation for C can be written

$$(*) \quad z\bar{z} - z\bar{w}_2 - \bar{z}w_2 - w_2\bar{w}_2 = z_2\bar{z} - z_2\bar{w}_2 - \bar{z}_2w_2 - w_2\bar{w}_2.$$

Solve the defining equation of L for \bar{z} :

$$\bar{z} = ((\overline{z_1 - w_1})w_1 + (z_1 - w_1)\overline{w_1} - (\overline{z_1 - w_1})z)/(z_1 - w_1)$$

and substitute this in (*), thereby concluding that either $z \in \mathbf{Q}(S \cup \overline{S})$ or z is algebraic of degree 2 over $\mathbf{Q}(S \cup \overline{S})$.

Part Three. For each $i = 1, 2$ let

$$C_i = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z - w_i| = |z_i - w_i|\},$$

suppose $C_1 \neq C_2$ and suppose $z \in C_1 \cap C_2$. Note that the defining equation for C_i can be written

$$(i) \quad z\bar{z} - z\overline{w_i} - \bar{z}w_i - w_i\overline{w_i} = z_i\bar{z}_i - z_i\overline{w_i} - \bar{z}_i w_i - w_i\overline{w_i}$$

for each $i = 1, 2$. Subtract (2) from (1) and solve the resulting linear equation for \bar{z} for z . Then substitute this value back in (1), thereby concluding that either $z \in \mathbf{Q}(S \cup \overline{S})$ or z is algebraic of degree 2 over $\mathbf{Q}(S \cup \overline{S})$. \square

5. Theorem. Suppose $S \subset \mathbf{C}$. Then

- Suppose $z_i, i = 1, 2$ are distinct points of S and L is the perpendicular bisector of the line segment joining z_1 to z_2 . Then $L \in l(\gamma(S))$.
- Suppose $L_1 \in l(S)$, $z \in S \sim L_1$ and L_2 is the line passing through z which is perpendicular to L_1 . Then $L_2 \in l(\gamma^2(S))$.
- Suppose $L_1 \in l(S)$, $z \in S \sim L_1$ and L_2 is the line passing through z which is parallel to L_1 . Then $L_2 \in l(\gamma^3(S))$.

Proof. Look at the pictures I'm going to draw. \square

6. Theorem. We have

- $i \in K$;
- $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ and $z = x + iy \in K \Rightarrow x \in K$ and $y \in K$;
- $z \in K \Rightarrow \bar{z} \in K$;
- $z \in K \Rightarrow -z \in K$;
- $z, w \in K \Rightarrow z + w \in K$;
- $z \in K \Rightarrow |z| \in K$;
- $z, w \in K \Rightarrow zw \in K$;
- $z \in K \sim \{0\} \Rightarrow 1/z \in K$.

Proof. Look at the pictures I'm going to draw. \square

7. Theorem. Suppose $z \in K$. Then z is algebraic over K of degree a power of 2.

Proof. We have already shown that each member of $\gamma^{n+1}(\{0, 1\})$ is algebraic over $\mathbf{Q}(\gamma^n(\{0, 1\} \cup \overline{\gamma^n(\{0, 1\})}))$ of degree 1 or 2 whenever $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Since $\gamma^{n+1}(\{0, 1\})$ is finite, it follows that

$$[\mathbf{Q}(\gamma^{n+1}(\{0, 1\} \cup \overline{\gamma^{n+1}(\{0, 1\})}), \mathbf{Q}(\gamma^n(\{0, 1\} \cup \overline{\gamma^n(\{0, 1\})}))] \quad \text{is a power of 2 for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

But this implies that

$[\mathbf{Q}(\gamma^{n+1}(\{0, 1\} \cup \overline{\gamma^{n+1}(\{0, 1\})}), \mathbf{Q})$ is a power of 2 for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

□