

Eigenfunction expansions for inhomogeneous PDE IBVP (Part 1)

0. **Readings from Haberman**: Chapter 2 is a very good introduction with good basic examples. Chapter 8 goes into more details on more complicated problems (with inhom. BC's and forcing fcn's).

- Heat equation: Sections 2.3, 2.4.1, **Section 8.4**
- Wave equation: Section 8.5 (part 1: pp. 358–360).
- Poisson's/Laplace's equation: Section 2.5.1. Section 8.6 (part 1: pp. 366–369).

and Lectures 11-15.

1. Consider the problem for the forced heat equation on  $0 \leq x \leq \pi$  with  $t \geq 0$ :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + 6xe^{-5t}, \quad (1a)$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|_{x=0} = 5 \sin(t), \quad \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|_{x=\pi} = 7e^{3t} \quad \text{for } t > 0 \quad (1b)$$

$$u(t=0) = 9e^{-2x} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq \pi. \quad (1c)$$

Consider the solution of this problem as an eigenfunction expansion in the form  $u(x, t) = \sum_k b_k(t)\phi_k(x)$ :

- Identify the eigenfunctions  $\phi_k(x)$  and eigenvalues/separation constants  $\lambda_k$ .
- Identify all integrals that need to be evaluated in this problem. Work out the  $\|\phi_k\|^2$  integrals and concretely write out all of the others so they could be evaluated by Maple or Mathematica, but DO NOT work out these integrals.
- Write the ODE's and IC's satisfied by the  $b_k(t)$  coefficient functions. Concretely write out all parts of the problem so they could be evaluated by a computer algebra program, but DO NOT solve these ODE problems.
- Write a one or two sentence response to the statement: "*Since the eigenfunctions selected in part (a) satisfy homogeneous BC's, the expansion for the solution does not satisfy the original boundary conditions (or the initial conditions at the edges).*"
- Expand out the  $k = 0$  ODE problem from (c) and calculate the solution for  $b_0(t)$ .  
From (a), this problem has a zero eigenvalue,  $\lambda_0 = 0$ . Explain if this presents trouble regarding existence or uniqueness of the solution  $u(x, t)$ .

2. Consider the problem on  $0 \leq x \leq \pi$  for the forced/damped wave equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + 2\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - 9t^3, \quad (2a)$$

$$u(x=0) = t, \quad \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|_{x=\pi} = 5, \quad (2b)$$

$$u(t=0) = 4x, \quad \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} = 4 \cos(2x). \quad (2c)$$

- Write down the ODE IVP's for the  $b_k(t)$  coefficient functions in the appropriate eigenfunction expansion solution,  $u(x, t) = \sum_k b_k(t)\phi_k(x)$ . Like Problem 1, DO NOT solve these ODE problems.
- Consider the homogeneous versions of your  $b_k(t)$  ODE's (zero-out the RHS forcing from BC's and source terms). Solve these ODE's for the general  $b_k(t)$  (dont use the IC's). Are there are modes that behave differently than the rest?

(continued)

3. A comparison of “ $x$ -BVP” vs. “ $y$ -BVP” eigenfunction expansions for a Laplace equation problem for  $u(x, y)$  with Dirichlet and Robin boundary conditions. Consider the problem

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0 \quad 0 \leq x \leq \ell \quad 0 \leq y \leq h \quad (3a)$$

$$u(0, y) = 0 \quad u(\ell, y) = 0 \quad 0 \leq y \leq h \quad (3b)$$

$$u(x, 0) = 0 \quad u_y(x, h) + 3u(x, h) = f(x) \quad 0 \leq x \leq \ell \quad (3c)$$

- (a) The “ $x$ -BVP” eigenfunction expansion takes advantage of the homogeneous left/right BC’s. Show that the solution can be written in the form

$$u(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k \sinh\left(\frac{k\pi y}{\ell}\right) \sin\left(\frac{k\pi x}{\ell}\right). \quad (4)$$

and determine the equations for the  $d_k$  constants.

- (b) Using the  $y$ -direction to determine the set of eigenfunctions yields the solution in the form

$$u(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k(x) \sin(\sqrt{\lambda_k} y). \quad (5)$$

- i. Homogenize the BC’s to determine the equation for the eigenvalues  $\lambda_k$ . (DO NOT try to solve this equation.) Hint: see Haberman Chapter 5.8.
- ii. Assuming you are given all of the  $\lambda_k$ ’s, determine the ODE BVP for the  $b_k(x)$  coefficient functions by integrating the projection of problem onto the eigenfunctions (as usual):

$$\langle \text{PDE}, \phi_k \rangle \rightarrow \int_0^h \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right] \phi_k(y) dy = 0 \quad \text{and so on} \dots \quad (6)$$

4. Recall Problem 4 from Homework 3 on reducing Gibbs’ phenomenon by separating out inhomogeneous boundary conditions.

A similar approach can be applied to many PDE problems. This is done by writing the solution in two parts as  $u(x, t) = u_B(x, t) + u_F(x, t)$  where:

- The “boundary solution”  $u_B$  satisfies the original inhomogeneous boundary conditions, but with a modified forcing/source term  $m(x, t)$  and a “quasi-steady” (meaning no time-derivative terms) version of the PDE,  $Lu_B = m(x, t)$ . We select forcing fcn  $m$  to get an “easy” soln for  $u_B$ .
- The “forced solution”  $u_F$  satisfies the full PDE with homogeneous BC’s (and modified source term,  $S - m$ ). Soln  $u_F$  can be written as an eigenfunction expansion with weaker Gibbs phenomenon at the boundaries.

The PDE problem for  $u_F(x, t)$  will be modified from the original problem depending on the form of  $u_B(x, t)$ . This is determined by substituting-in  $u = u_F + u_B$  into the original problem after you’ve determined  $u_B(x, t)$  (see Haberman, Chapter 8.2 for a similar discussion).

For Problems 1 and 2 from this Homework, the spatial operator is  $Lu \equiv \partial^2 u / \partial x^2$ .

(Note: You can do this problem without having solved Problems 1 or 2 first.)

- (a) For Problem 2, let  $m = 0$  and determine  $u_b(x, t)$ , and then write out the PDE problem satisfied by  $u_F$ , namely the PDE with inhomogeneous forcing term, IC’s, and BC’s. (DO NOT solve it)
- (b) For Problem 1 with  $m = 0$ , what happens when you try to determine  $u_B(x, t)$ ?
- (c) For Problem 1, set  $u_B(x, t) = C(t)x + D(t)x^2$ . Determine  $C, D$  to satisfy the boundary conditions, then write the full PDE problem for  $u_F(x, t)$ . (DO NOT solve the problem.)