

Lecture 14: K-theory, KO-theory, and James periodicity

2/20/15

1 Complex (topological) K-theory

Let X be a CW-complex, and suppose X is finite. Let $\text{Vect}(X)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of complex vector bundles on X . The Whitney sum gives an operation \oplus on $\text{Vect}(X)$.

Definition 1.1. $K^0(X)$ is the initial group receiving a map from $\text{Vect}(X)$ which sends \oplus to the group operation on $K^0(X)$.

More explicitly, $K^0(X)$ consists of pairs $(V, W) \in \text{Vect}(X)^2$, subject to the equivalence $(V, W) \sim (V', W')$ iff $V \oplus W' \oplus U \cong V' \oplus W \oplus U$ for some $U \in \text{Vect}(X)$. The operation \oplus extends to a group operation $(V, W) \oplus (V', W') = (V \oplus V', W \oplus W')$. We think of (V, W) as “ $V \ominus W$.”

Proposition 1.2. 1. For $V, W \in \text{Vect}(X)$, we have $V = W$ in $K^0(X)$ if and only if there exists n such that $V \oplus \underline{\mathbb{C}}^n \cong W \oplus \underline{\mathbb{C}}^n$, where $\underline{\mathbb{C}}$ denotes the trivial bundle on X .

2. Every element of $K^0(X)$ can be represented as $(V, \underline{\mathbb{C}}^n)$.

Proof. If $V = W$ in $K^0(X)$, then there exists $U \in \text{Vect}(X)$ such that $V \oplus U \cong W \oplus U$. It follows from compactness of X , that we may choose a finite cover so that over each open of the cover U is trivialized. A partition of unity argument then gives us an injection $U \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}^N$ for some large N . Choosing an inner product on $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^N$, we see that this injection is split. (In fact any injection of topological vector bundles is split). The kernel of this splitting is a vector bundle U' such that $U \oplus U' \cong \underline{\mathbb{C}}^N$. By taking the Whitney sum of both sides of $V \oplus U \cong W \oplus U$ with U' , we see 1.

Now take (V, W) in $K^0(X)$. By the same reasoning, we may choose W' such that $W \oplus W' \cong \underline{\mathbb{C}}^N$. Then $(V, W) \cong (V \oplus W', \underline{\mathbb{C}}^N)$, showing the second claim.

□

Corollary 1.3. *If V, W in $\text{Vect}(X)$ determine the same class in $K^0(X)$, then $\text{Th}(V) \cong \text{Th}(W)$ in the stable homotopy category.*

In the previous corollary, $\text{Th}(V)$ denotes $\Sigma^\infty \text{Th}(V)$.

Proof. Use 1 and the fact that $\text{Th}(V \oplus \underline{\mathbb{C}}^n) \cong \Sigma^{2n} \text{Th}(V)$. □

We may furthermore define the Thom spectrum $\text{Th}(V, W)$ for any $(V, W) \in K^0(X)$ by choosing $(V', \underline{\mathbb{C}}^n)$ such that $(V, W) = (V', \underline{\mathbb{C}}^n)$, and setting $\text{Th}(V, W) = \Sigma^{-2n} \text{Th}(V')$. This is well-defined because if $(V_1, \underline{\mathbb{C}}^{n_1}) = (V_2, \underline{\mathbb{C}}^{n_2})$ in $K^0(X)$, we have $V_1 \oplus \underline{\mathbb{C}}^{n_2} \cong V_2 \oplus \underline{\mathbb{C}}^{n_1}$, whence $\Sigma^{2n_2} \text{Th}(V_1) \cong \Sigma^{2n_1} \text{Th}(V_2)$.

This proves some facts we were assuming before.

Given a map of spaces $X \rightarrow Y$, the pull-back of vector bundles gives a group homomorphism $K^0(Y) \rightarrow K^0(X)$. In fact K^0 extends to a generalized cohomology theory, represented by a spectrum K . You could start defining this generalized cohomology theory. For example,

$$K^{-n}(X) = \tilde{K}^0(S^n \wedge (X_+))$$

for all positive n . Perhaps it's better to write $\tilde{K}^{-n}X = \tilde{K}^0(S^n \wedge X)$. Here, $\tilde{K}^0(X)$ is the reduced K^0 , given either as the subgroup of $K^0(X)$ consisting of (V, W) where V and W have the same rank, or as Vect / \sim where $V \sim W$ iff there are n and m such that $V \oplus \underline{\mathbb{C}}^n = W \oplus \underline{\mathbb{C}}^m$

For negative n , you could then use Bott periodicity:

Theorem 1.4. (*Bott periodicity*) $K^n(X) \cong K^{n+2}(X)$.

2 KO-theory

In the above definition, replace complex vector bundles with real vector bundles in the definition $\text{Vect}(X)$, so $\text{Vect}(X)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of real vector bundles on X , equipped with the operation \oplus .

Definition 2.1. $KO^0(X)$ is the initial group receiving a map from $\text{Vect}(X)$ which sends \oplus to the group operation on $KO^0(X)$.

The analogues of Proposition 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 hold as well. For $(V, W) \in KO^0(X)$, we can find $(V', \underline{\mathbb{R}}^n)$ such that $(V, W) = (V', \underline{\mathbb{R}}^n)$. Define

$$\text{Th}(V, W) = \Sigma^{-n} \text{Th}(V')$$

to be the object of the stable homotopy category, where $\text{Th}(V')$ denotes the suspension spectrum of the Thom space of V' .

KO^0 also extends to a generalized cohomology theory. The real version of Bott periodicity is

Theorem 2.2. (*Bott periodicity*) $\tilde{K}O^n(X) \cong \tilde{K}O^{n+8}(X)$.

The periodicity isomorphism is induced by a product with a class in $\tilde{K}O^0(S^8) \cong \tilde{K}O^{-8}(S^0)$ constructed from Clifford algebras. The homotopy groups of KO are given $\tilde{K}O(S^n) \cong KO_n$ is

$$\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2, 0, \mathbb{Z}, 0, 0, 0, \mathbb{Z}$$

for

$$n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$$

respectively.

3 James Periodicity

By combining the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence and K or KO-theory, we get a proof of a property of projective spaces called James periodicity. Let \mathbb{L} denote the tautological bundle on real projective space. Recall the notation that

$$\mathbb{R}P_n^{m+n} = \text{Th}(\mathbb{R}P^m, n\mathbb{L}).$$

We have seen that for n (and m) positive, we have $\mathbb{R}P_n^{m+n} = \mathbb{R}P^{m+n}/\mathbb{R}P^{n-1}$. From the above, we know that in the stable homotopy category, $\text{Th}(\mathbb{R}P^m, n\mathbb{L})$ only depends on $n\mathbb{L}$ in $KO(\mathbb{R}P^m)$. We could equally well say that $\Sigma^{-n} \text{Th}(\mathbb{R}P^m, n\mathbb{L})$ only depends on $n(\mathbb{L}-1)$ in $\tilde{K}O(\mathbb{R}P^m)$. Looking at the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence, we have $\tilde{H}^p(\mathbb{R}P^m, \tilde{K}O_{-q}) \Rightarrow \tilde{K}O^{p+q}(\mathbb{R}P^m)$. Along the $p+q=0$ diagonal, we get $\mathbb{Z}/2$'s whenever there is a \mathbb{Z} or a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ in $\tilde{K}O_{-q}$. For example, when $m=8$, we have four $\mathbb{Z}/2$'s. This implies that $\tilde{K}O^0(\mathbb{R}P^m)$ has at most

order 16. In particular, $16(\mathbb{L} - 1) = 0$, so we conclude that $\Sigma^{-n} \text{Th}(\mathbb{R}P^8, n\mathbb{L})$ only depends on n modulo 16. In other words

$$\Sigma^{-n} \mathbb{R}P_n^{8+n}$$

only depends on n modulo 16.

Exercise 3.1. *Do this for complex projective space, and other values of m .*

References

- [A] J.F. Adams, *Stable Homotopy and Generalized Homology* Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, The University of Chicago Press, 1974.
- [H] Michael Hopkins, *Stable homotopy theory (course notes)*.
- [M] Haynes Miller, *Vector Fields on spheres, etc. (course notes)*.