Math 353 Lecture Notes More topics on PDEs Self-adjoint operators and projection

J. Wong (Fall 2020)

1 Preliminaries: self-adjoint operators

In studying the heat equation, we encountered the operator

$$L = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$$

along with boundary conditions like

$$\phi(0) = \phi(1) = 0$$

in calculating the eigenfunctions. Without delving too much into the theory (**Sturm-Liouville theory**), it is useful to identify the property that allows the eigenfunction method to work.

For convenience, let us consider the operator

$$L = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$$
, for L^2 functions defined on $[a, b]$ (1.1)

and the Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$\phi(a) = \phi(b) = 0.$$
 (1.2)

When solving eigenvalue problems, etc. with these boundary conditions, we look in the space

$$S = \{ v \in L^2[a, b] \text{ such that } v(a) = v(b) = 0 \}$$

i.e. functions that satisfy the boundary conditions. Recall also that the inner product

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \int_{a}^{b} v(x)w(x) \, dx$$

is well defined for such functions (this is the L^2 part).

Now, a calculation. Let u(x) and v(x) be **any** functions in S. Then

$$\langle Lu, v \rangle = \int_{a}^{b} -u''(x)v(x) \, dx$$

$$= -u'v \Big|_{a}^{b} + \int_{a}^{b} u'v' \, dx$$

$$= (uv' - u'v) \Big|_{a}^{b} - \int_{a}^{b} uv'' \, dx$$

$$= (uv' - u'v) \Big|_{a}^{b} + \langle u, Lv \rangle$$

This is **Green's formula** for the operator L.

But u and v both satisfy the boundary conditions (1.2). The 'boundary terms' from integration by parts vanish, leaving

$$\langle Lu, v \rangle = \langle u, Lv \rangle$$
 for all u, v satisfying the BCs. (1.3)

This important property deserves a box:

Self-adjointness: Given an operator L and some boundary conditions, we say that L with those BCs is **self-adjoinht** if

$$\langle Lu, v \rangle = \langle u, Lv \rangle$$
 for all u, v that satisfy the BCs.

This property involves three parts: an interval [a, b], an operator L, and boundary conditions.

Note that for $L = -d^2/dx^2$ in particular we also have **Green's formula**

$$\langle Lu, v \rangle = (uv' - u'v) \Big|_{a}^{b} + \langle u, Lv \rangle$$
 (1.4)

which holds for any L^2 functions u and v.

The nice properties of eigenfunctions/values turns out to be guaranteed so long as the operator L is self-adjoint (plus a few technical conditions) - this is the basis of **Sturm-Liouville theory**.

The theory allows one to extend the eigenfunction method to more operators, in particular those of the form

$$Lu = -(p(x)u_x)_x + q(x)u, \qquad p(x) > 0 \text{ in } [a, b].$$

1.1 Examples (self-adjoint operators):

Example 1 (not self-adjoint: Consider

$$L\phi = \phi'$$

in [a, b] with any boundary conditions. Then

$$\langle Lu, v \rangle = \int_{a}^{b} u'v \, dx = uv \Big|_{a}^{b} - \int_{a}^{b} uv' \, dx = (\text{bdry terms}) + \langle u, -v' \rangle$$

There is no hope of L being self-adjoint since clearly

$$\langle u, Lv \rangle = \langle u, v' \rangle \neq \langle u, -v' \rangle$$

Integration by parts once gives a minus sign that makes the property fail. Compare to $L\phi = \phi''$, where IBP twice has the minus signs cancel (and it is self-adjoint with the right BCs): $\langle u'', v \rangle = (\text{bdry terms}) + \langle u, v'' \rangle$.

Example 2 (not self-adjoint): We check self-adjointness for the boundary value problem

$$xy'' = \lambda y, \quad y(0) = 0, \quad y'(1) = 0.$$

Let L[y] = xy''. Then

$$\int_0^1 L[u]v \, dx = \int_0^1 x u'' v \, dx = x u' v \Big|_0^1 - \int_0^1 u'(xv)' \, dx.$$

The boundary term vanishes; integrating by parts again we get

$$\int_0^1 L[u]v \, dx = -u(xv)' \Big|_0^1 + \int_0^1 u(xv)'' \, dx = -u(1)v(1) + \int_0^1 u(xv)'' \, dx$$

The operator is not self-adjoint (there is a boundary term left, and the integral $\int_0^1 u(xv)'' dx$ is not $\int_0^1 uL[v] dx$, so it fails on two counts).

2 Inhomogeneous boundary conditions

First consider an IBVP with homogeneous BCs:

$$u_{t} = -Lu + h(x, t), \quad x \in [0, \pi], \ t > 0$$

$$u(0, t) = 0, \quad u(\pi, t) = 0, \quad t > 0$$

$$u(x, 0) = f(x)$$

(2.1)

with $Lu = -u_{xx}$, which has eigenfunctions $\phi_n = \sin nx$ and $\lambda_n = n^2$ for $n \ge 1$.

Note that since u and ϕ_n satisfy the homogenous BCs, the self-adjoint property says

$$\langle Lu, \phi_n \rangle = \langle u, L\phi_n \rangle$$

We'll use this to solve the problem. The solution has the form

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{n \ge 1} c_n(t)\phi_n(x), \quad c_n(t) = \frac{\langle u, \phi_n \rangle}{\langle \phi_n, \phi_n \rangle} = \frac{1}{k_n} \langle u, \phi_n \rangle$$

for the usual inner product and $k_n = \langle \phi_n, \phi_n \rangle$ and

$$h(x,t) = \sum_{n \ge 1} h_n(t)\phi_n(x).$$

To find $\langle u, \phi_n \rangle$, we must use the PDE. Take the projection

$$\cdot \to \frac{\langle \cdot, \phi_n \rangle}{\langle \phi_n, \phi_n \rangle}$$

of the PDE to get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k_n} \langle u_t, \phi_n \rangle &= -\frac{1}{k_n} \langle Lu, \phi_n \rangle + \frac{1}{k_n} \langle h(x, t), \phi_n \rangle \\ c'_n(t) &= -\frac{1}{k_n} \langle Lu, \phi_n \rangle + h_n(t) \end{aligned}$$

since the *t*-derivative can be swapped with the series sum for u. Now observe that both u and ϕ_n satisfy the homogeneous BCs for L, which is self-adjoint, so

$$\langle Lu, \phi_n \rangle = \langle u, L\phi_n \rangle = \lambda_n \langle u, \phi_n \rangle = \lambda_n k_n c_n(t)$$

It follows that

$$c'_n(t) = -\lambda_n c_n(t) + h_n(t)$$

which is what you would get using the 'plug in the series' method.

Similarly, we can project the ICs (this is the same as in previous examples) to get

$$c_n(0) = \frac{\langle f, \phi_n \rangle}{\langle \phi_n, \phi_n \rangle}.$$

2.1 inhomogeneous BCs

The value of the projection method is that it works when u does not have homogeneous **BCs**. Let's return to the example above, but now suppose

$$u_t = -Lu + h(x, t), \quad x \in [0, \pi], \ t > 0$$

$$u(0, t) = e^{-t}, \quad u(\pi, t) = 0, \quad t > 0$$

$$u(x, 0) = f(x)$$

(2.2)

As we will see, the correct eigenvalue problem uses the **homogeneous BCs** (they have to be homogeneous to get eigenfunctions!). The eigenfunctions/values to use are thus the same:

$$\phi_n = \sin nx, \quad \lambda_n = n^2, \quad n \ge 1.$$

Now let u be the solution to the full **inhomogeneous problem**. The key point is that since u does not have homogeneous BCs,

$$\langle Lu, \phi_n \rangle = (\text{bdry terms}) + \langle u, L\phi_n \rangle.$$

The ϕ 's are still a basis for functions on $[0, \pi]$ (regardless of the BCs), so

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{n \ge 1} c_n(t)\phi_n(x)$$

Now project the PDE onto ϕ_n , i.e. take

$$\cdot \to \frac{\langle \cdot, \phi_n \rangle}{\langle \phi_n, \phi_n \rangle}$$

with $k_n = \langle \phi_n, \phi_n \rangle$ to get

$$c'_n(t) = -\frac{1}{k_n} \langle Lu, \phi_n \rangle + h_n(t).$$

Now write out the boundary values for u and ϕ_n carefully:

$$\phi_n(0) = \phi_n(\pi) = 0, \qquad u(0,t) = e^{-t}, \ u(\pi,t) = 0.$$

By Green's formula, the self-adjoint property almost holds, but there is a boundary term:

$$\langle Lu, \phi_n \rangle = -\int_0^\pi u_{xx} \phi_n \, dx$$

$$= \left(u\phi'_n - u_x \phi_n \right) \Big|_0^\pi + \int_0^\pi u\phi''_n \, dx$$

$$= \left(u\phi'_n - u_x \phi_n \right) \Big|_0^\pi + \langle u, L\phi_n \rangle$$

$$= u(0, t)\phi'_n(0) + \langle u, L\phi_n \rangle$$

$$= ne^{-t} + k_n \lambda_n c_n(t)$$

since $\phi'_n = n \cos nx$. Thus

$$c'_n(t) = \frac{n}{k_n}e^{-t} + \lambda_n c_n(t) + h_n(t).$$

This is an ODE we can solve - the extra term accounts for the **inhomogeneous BC**. The fact that the ϕ 's are an orthogonal basis means all steps here are justified, so u(x,t) with the coefficients we solve for is really the solution.

Why these ϕ 's? Note that the projection could be done with any eigenfunction basis to get the projected PDE. For instance, take the example above with no source,

$$u_t = u_{xx}, \quad u(0,t) = e^{-t}, \quad u(\pi,t) = 0$$

but try to use $\phi_n = \cos nx$ instead and

$$u(x,t) = \sum a_n(t) \cos nx.$$

We still have that $L\phi_n = \lambda_n \tilde{\phi}_n$ (and in fact the λ 's are the same. This gives

$$a'_{n}(t) = -\frac{1}{k_{n}} \langle Lu, \phi_{n} \rangle$$
$$\langle Lu, \phi_{n} \rangle = (u_{x}\phi_{n} - u\phi'_{n}) \Big|_{0}^{\pi} + \langle u, L\phi_{n} \rangle$$
$$\langle Lu, \phi_{n} \rangle = \frac{u_{x}\phi_{n}}{u_{0}} \Big|_{0}^{\pi} - e^{-t}\phi'_{n}(0) + k_{n}\lambda_{n}a_{n}(t)$$

leading to the nasty equation

$$a'_{n}(t) = -\lambda_{n}a_{n}(t) + (-1)^{n}u_{x}(\pi, t) - u_{x}(0, t)$$

But this is both wrong (the e^{-t} vanishes) and not useful: the u_x terms are unknown since u_x is not given at the boundaries (wrong BCs). We **must have** ϕ_n vanish at the boundaries to cancel out this term - exactly the homogeneous BCs for the problem.

2.2 Example 1

We solve the IBVP

$$u_t = u_{xx}, \ x \in (0, \pi), \ t > 0$$

$$u_x(0) = A, \ u_x(\pi) = 0$$

$$u(x, 0) = T_0$$
(2.3)

which describes heat in a metal rod insulated at one end and with a constant output flux A at the other (assuming A > 0). The operator is $Lu = -u_{xx}$ and the eigenvalue problem is

$$-\phi'' = \lambda\phi, \ \phi'(0) = \phi'(\pi) = 0 \implies \phi_n = \cos nx, \ \lambda_n = n^2, \ n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

You could try to look for a steady state first:

$$0 = w''(x), \quad w'(0) = A, \quad w'(\pi) = 0$$

so w(x) = ax + b. But the BCs then require both a = A and a = 0. The failure makes some sense here, because the rod is insulated except that heat is **rmoved**, so it should just keep draining and not reach an equilibrium.

PDE solution: Let u be the solution to the IBVP. Then

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(t)\phi_n(x).$$

Now take the inner product of the DE with ϕ_n to get (with $k_n = \langle \phi_n, \phi_n \rangle$)

$$c'_{n}(t) = -\frac{\langle Lu, \phi_{n} \rangle}{k_{n}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{k_{n}} \left(\phi_{n} u_{x} - \phi'_{n} u \right) \Big|_{0}^{\pi} - \frac{1}{k_{n}} \langle u, L\phi_{n} \rangle.$$

By the boundary conditions,

$$\phi'_n(0) = \phi'_n(\pi) = 0,$$

 $u_x(0) = A, \ u_x(\pi) = 0.$

Plugging this into the boundary terms, we get

$$c'_n(t) = -\frac{A\phi_n(0)}{k_n} - \lambda_n c_n$$

Now from the IC,

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(0)\phi_n(x) \implies c_n(0) = \frac{\langle f, \phi_n \rangle}{\langle \phi_n, \phi_n \rangle}$$

But $f = T_0 \phi_0$ so it follows that

$$c_0(0) = T_0, \ c_n(0) = 0 \text{ for } n > 0.$$
 (2.4)

This gives the IVP for the c_n 's:

$$c'_n + \lambda_n c_n = -A/\langle \phi_n, \phi_n \rangle, \qquad c_n(0)$$
 given by (2.4).

Solve the coeff. ODEs: There are two cases. When $\lambda_n \neq 0$,

$$c_n(t) = -\frac{2A}{\pi\lambda_n}(1 - e^{-\lambda_n t})$$

noting that $\langle \phi_n, \phi_n \rangle = \pi/2$ for $n \ge 1$.

But for $\lambda_0 = 0$, we have $c_n(0) = T_0$ and (note that $\langle \phi_0, \phi_0 \rangle = \pi$)

$$c'_0 = -A/\langle \phi_0, \phi_0 \rangle \implies c_0(t) = T_0 - \frac{A}{\pi}t.$$

Summarize: Thus, the solution is

$$u(x,t) = T_0 - \frac{A}{\pi}t - \frac{2A}{\pi}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(1-e^{-\lambda_n t})}{\lambda_n}\phi_n$$

with $\lambda_n = n^2$ and $\phi_n = \cos nx$ and $\langle \phi_n, \phi_n \rangle = \int_0^{\pi} \cos^2 nx \, dx$ (you could simplify more). Note that $\langle \phi_0, \phi_0 \rangle = \pi$ and $\langle \phi_n, \phi_n \rangle = \pi/2$; the integrals are different for n = 0 and $n \neq 0$.

2.3 Example 2 (lengthy)

A fully worked example similar to the one in Section 2.1. We solve the heat equation in $[0, \pi]$ with a time-dependent boundary condition:

$$u_t = u_{xx}, \quad x \in (0, \pi), \quad t > 0,$$

$$u(0, t) = 0, \quad u(\pi, t) = At, \quad t > 0,$$

$$u(x, 0) = f(x).$$
(2.5)

The eigenfunctions/values are

$$\phi_n = \sin nx, \quad \lambda_n = n^2, \qquad n \ge 1.$$

Write the solution u in terms of the eigenfunctions:

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n(t)\phi_n(x).$$

Now we project the PDE

$$u_t = -Lu$$

onto the eigenfunction ϕ_n using

$$\cdot \to \frac{\langle \cdot, \phi_n \rangle}{\langle \phi_n, \phi_n \rangle}$$

with $k_n = \langle \phi_n, \phi_n \rangle$ to get

$$c_n'(t) = -\frac{1}{k_n} \langle Lu, \phi_n \rangle$$

Integrating by parts and/or using Green's formula,

$$c'_{n}(t) = -\frac{1}{k_{n}}\left(\left(u\phi'_{n} - u_{x}\phi_{n}\right)\Big|_{0}^{\pi} - \langle u, L\phi_{n}\rangle\right)$$

and noting that only one of the boundary terms (at $x = \pi$) remains, we get

$$c'_{n}(t) = -\frac{1}{k_{n}}u(\pi, t)\phi'_{n}(\pi) - \lambda_{n}c_{n}(t)$$
$$c'_{n}(t) = -\frac{Ant}{k_{n}}\cos n\pi - \lambda_{n}c_{n}(t)$$

For brevity (note that $k_n = \pi/2$), set

$$\gamma_n = -\frac{2An\cos(n\pi)}{\pi}.$$
(2.6)

The ODE for c_n is then

$$c'_n(t) + \lambda_n c_n(t) = \gamma_n t$$

As before, write the initial condition in terms of the eigenfunction basis:

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \phi_n(x), \qquad a_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} f(x) \sin nx \, dx.$$
(2.7)

Then u(x,0) = f(x) gives the initial condition for c_n :

$$c_n(0) = a_n$$

At this point, we are "done" in the sense that the solution is

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n(t)\phi_n(x)$$

where the c_n 's are the solutions to the IVPs

$$c'_n(t) + \lambda_n c_n(t) = \gamma_n t, \quad c_n(0) = a_n$$

with

$$\gamma_n = -\frac{2An\cos n\pi}{\pi}, \quad a_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi f(x)\phi_n(x) \, dx$$

and $\lambda_n = n^2$ and $\phi_n = \sin nx$. This completely defines the solution.

However, to be complete, we solve the ODEs. Use an integrating factor:

$$(e^{\lambda_n t} c_n)' = \gamma_n e^{\lambda_n t} t$$

to obtain

$$c_n = a_n e^{-\lambda_n t} + \gamma_n e^{-\lambda_n t} \int_0^t e^{\lambda_n s} s \, ds.$$

Evaluating the integral we get

$$c_n(t) = a_n e^{-\lambda_n t} + \frac{\gamma_n}{\lambda_n^2} \left(\lambda_n t - 1 + e^{-\lambda_n t} \right).$$

We can plug in λ_n^2 and γ_n from (2.6) we get

$$c_n(t) = a_n e^{-n^2 t} - \frac{2A\cos(n\pi)}{\pi n^3} \left(n^2 t - 1 + e^{-n^2 t} \right).$$
(2.8)

The solution is then given by

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n(t)\phi_n(x)$$

with $c_n(t)$ given by (2.8) and the a_n 's by (2.7). Note that the first term in the expression (2.8) for $c_n(t)$ gives the solution if the boundary conditions were homogeneous; the second term is the response to the inhomogeneous boundary conditions.