Math 218D Problem Session

Week 10

1. Some simple examples

a) The matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ has characteristic polynomial $(\lambda-1)^2$, the only eigenvalue is $\lambda_1 = 1$, the λ_1-eigenspace is $\mathbf{R}^2$ with basis $\{(1,0),(0,1)\}$, the matrix is diagonal and diagonalizable.

b) The matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$ has characteristic polynomial $(\lambda-2)(\lambda+2)$, the eigenvalues are $\lambda_1 = 2$ and $\lambda_2 = -2$, the λ_1-eigenspace is $\mathrm{Span}\{(1,0)\}$ and the λ_2-eigenspace is $\mathrm{Span}\{(0,1)\}$, the matrix is diagonal and diagonalizable.

c) The matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ has characteristic polynomial λ^2, the only eigenvalue is $\lambda_1 = 0$, the λ_1-eigenspace is $\mathbf{R}^2$, the matrix is diagonal and diagonalizable.

d) The matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ has characteristic polynomial $(\lambda-1)(\lambda+1)$, the eigenvalues are $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_2 = -1$, the λ_1-eigenspace is $\mathrm{Span}\{(1,1)\}$ and the λ_2-eigenspace is $\mathrm{Span}\{(1,-1)\}$, the matrix is not diagonal but is diagonalizable.

e) The matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ has characteristic polynomial $\lambda(\lambda-2)$, the eigenvalues are $\lambda_1 = 0$ and $\lambda_2 = 2$, the λ_1-eigenspace is $\mathrm{Span}\{(1,-1)\}$ and the λ_2-eigenspace is $\mathrm{Span}\{(1,1)\}$, the matrix is not diagonal but is diagonalizable.

f) The matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ has characteristic polynomial $(\lambda-2)^2$, the only eigenvalue is $\lambda_1 = 2$, the λ_2-eigenspace is $\mathrm{Span}\{(1,0)\}$, the matrix is neither diagonal nor diagonalizable.

g) The matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ has characteristic polynomial $\lambda^2-4\lambda+5$. Since $4^2-4\cdot 5 < 0$, this polynomial has no real root. This means it has no real eigenvalues, and cannot be diagonalized via real matrices. It is not diagonal.

2. A 2×2 **diagonalization**

Consider the matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ -3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$.

a) The characteristic polynomial is $\lambda^2 - 3\lambda + 2 = (\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2)$

b) The two eigenvalues are $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_2 = 2$.

c) A $\lambda_1 = 1$ eigenvector is $(1, 1)$.

d) A $\lambda_2 = 2$ eigenvector is $(2, 3)$.

e) $D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, $C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$.

f) It is not hard to "guess" that $(1,2) = -(1,1) + (2,3)$, i.e. $c_1 = -1$, $c_2 = 1$. If you already computed the inverse $C^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, you could also do $(c_1, c_2) = C^{-1}(1,2) = (3,-1) + 2(-2,1) = (-1,1)$.

This means that $A^n(1,2) = A^n(-(1,1) + (2,3)) = -\lambda_1^n(1,1) + \lambda_2^n(2,3) = -(1,1) + 2^n(2,3)$.

g) When n is very large, the ratio $\frac{||A^{n+1}(1,2)||^2}{||A^n(1,2)||^2} = \frac{(2 \cdot 2^{n+1}+1)^2 + (3 \cdot 2^{n+1}+1)^2}{(2 \cdot 2^n+1)^2 + (3 \cdot 2^n+1)^2}$ is approximately 4 (the +1's are negligible compared to the large 2^n terms). This means that the ratio $\frac{||A^{n+1}(1,2)||}{||A^n(1,2)||}$ is approximately 2.

h) For any n, $||A^{n+1}(1,1)||/||A^n(1,1)||$ is not just approximately, but exactly, equal to 1.

i) If you were given a random vector w, you would expect $||A^{n+1}w||/||A^n w||$ to be approximately 2 when n is very large - most vectors are not in the $\lambda_1 = 1$ eigenspace, and for any vector not in that eigenspace, the same logic as in **g)** would apply.

3. Some 3×3 **characteristic polynomials** Both matrices have characteristic polynomial $\lambda^3 - 2\lambda^2 + \lambda$. This factors as $(\lambda - 1)^2\lambda$, so both polynomials have eigenvalues 1 and 0, with 1 being a repeated eigenvalue. The matrix A is diagonalizable:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

The matrix B is not, since the 1-eigenspace, $\mathrm{Nul}(B - I)$ is 1-dimensional, and the 0-eigenspace, $\mathrm{Nul}(B)$, is also 1-dimensional. This means you can find at most 2 linearly independent eigenvectors, not the 3 you need for diagonalization.

4. Traces and determinants

Recall that the trace $\mathrm{Tr}(A)$ is the sum of the diagonal entries of A.

a) For example, for **a)**, $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_2 = 1$. Therefore $\mathrm{Tr}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = 1 + 1 = 2$, while $\det\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$. For a non-diagonal example, look at **d)** - the eigenvalues are $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = -1$, $\mathrm{Tr}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = 1 + (-1) = 0$ while $\det\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = 1 \cdot (-1) = -1$.

b) For any $n \times n$ matrix, the polynomial $p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I_n)$ can be factored as

$$p(\lambda) = (-1)^n (\lambda - \lambda_1) \cdots (\lambda - \lambda_n).$$

When you set $\lambda = 0$ in $\det(A - \lambda I_n)$, you get $\det(A)$. When you set $\lambda = 0$ in $(-1)^n(\lambda - \lambda_1)\cdots(\lambda - \lambda_n)$, you get $(-1)^n(-\lambda_1)\cdots(-\lambda_n) = \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_n$. Therefore $\det(A) = \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_n$.

c) The determinant $\det(A)$ has another product formula:

$$\det(A) = (-1)^k d_1 \cdots d_n,$$

when the A has REF with pivot entries $d_1, \ldots, d_n$, found using Gaussian elimination w/o row scaling and with k row swaps. Even though this formula looks quite similar to the formula of **b)**, eigenvalues and pivots are not at all the same.

An example of a 2×2 matrix where the pivots d_1, d_2 are not the same as the eigenvalues λ_1, λ_2 is given by $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. This matrix has $p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \lambda - 1$, hence has eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$. But the REF, with one row swap, is $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, with pivots $1, 1$. This gives two different formula for the determinant $\det\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = -1 \cdot 1 = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \cdot \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

d) For any $n \times n$ matrix, we will show that $\mathrm{Tr}(A) = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n$. We'll do the same strategy as in **b)**, but the details are much trickier.

$p(\lambda)$**-side:** If you expand $p(\lambda) = (-1)^n(\lambda - \lambda_1)\cdots(\lambda - \lambda_n)$ into $p(\lambda) = (-1)^n \lambda^n + (?)\lambda^{n-1} + \cdots$, the coefficient of λ^{n-1} is $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n$.

For example, $(-1)^3(\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2)(\lambda - \lambda_3) = (-1)^3(\lambda^3 - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)\lambda^2 + (\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_2\lambda_3 + \lambda_3\lambda_1)\lambda - \lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3$.

$\det(A - \lambda I)$**-side** What is the coefficient of λ^{n-1} for $\det(A - \lambda I)$? Well, you have to think very carefully about the cofactor expansion, or really the formula you get when you do cofactor expansion n times, all the way to 1×1 matrices. The only term in the cofactor expansion which has a possibility of having a λ^{n-1} term is the product $(a_{11} - \lambda)\cdots(a_{nn} - \lambda)$, coming from the $(1,1)$-cofactor n times.

For example, when $n = 3$,

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} (a_{11}-\lambda) & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & (a_{22}-\lambda) & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & (a_{33}-\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = (a_{11}-\lambda)\det\begin{pmatrix} (a_{22}-\lambda) & a_{23} \\ a_{32} & (a_{33}-\lambda) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$- a_{21}\det\begin{pmatrix} a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{32} & a_{33}-\lambda \end{pmatrix}$$
$$+ a_{31}\det\begin{pmatrix} a_{12} & a_{13} \\ (a_{22}-\lambda) & a_{23} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Both $\det\begin{pmatrix} a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{32} & (a_{33}-\lambda) \end{pmatrix}$ and $\det\begin{pmatrix} a_{12} & a_{13} \\ (a_{22}-\lambda) & a_{23} \end{pmatrix}$ are degree one polynomials in λ, with no $\lambda^{n-1} = \lambda^2$ term. The first term

$$(a_{11}-\lambda)\det\begin{pmatrix} (a_{22}-\lambda) & a_{23} \\ a_{32} & (a_{33}-\lambda) \end{pmatrix}$$

equals $(a_{11}-\lambda)(a_{22}-\lambda)(a_{33}-\lambda) - a_{32}a_{23}$, and only the first part of this, $(a_{11}-\lambda)(a_{22}-\lambda)(a_{33}-\lambda)$, can have λ^2 terms.

Back to discussing general n. Since the λ^{n-1} term of $\det(A-\lambda I_n)$ is the same as the λ^{n-1} term of $(a_{11}-\lambda)\cdots(a_{nn}-\lambda)$,

$$\det(A-\lambda I_n) = (-1)^{n-1}\lambda^n + (a_{11}+\cdots+a_{nn})\lambda^{n-1} + \cdots.$$

Conclusion: We then compare the λ^{n-1}-terms on both sides of $\det(A - \lambda I_n) = (-1)^n(\lambda-\lambda_1)\cdots(\lambda-\lambda_n)$, which gives

$$a_{11}+\cdots+a_{nn} = \lambda_1+\cdots+\lambda_n,$$

i.e.

$$\mathrm{Tr}(A) = \lambda_1+\cdots+\lambda_n.$$