- ► The first midterm is this Friday, during recitation. It covers Chapter 1, sections 1–5 and 7–9.
 - About half the problems will be conceptual, and the other half computational.
- ▶ Homeworks 1.9 and 2.1 are also due Friday, early in the morning.
- ▶ There is a practice midterm posted on the website. It is identical in format to the real midterm (although there may be ± 1 –2 problems).
- Study tips:
 - There are lots of problems at the end of each section in the book, and at the end of the chapter, for practice.
 - Make sure to learn the theorems and learn the definitions, and understand what they mean.
 - Sit down to do the practice midterm in 50 minutes, with no notes.
 - Come to office hours!
- ► Triple office hours: today, 3–5pm; Wednesday, 1–3pm; Thursday, 2:30–4:30pm.
 - As always, TAs' office hours are posted on the website.
 - Math Lab is also a good place to visit.

Section 2.2

The Inverse of a Matrix

The Definition of Inverse

The multiplicative inverse (or reciprocal) of a number a is the number b such that ab = 1. Since multiplication of numbers is commutative, this is the same as saying ba = 1. We define the inverse of a matrix the same way, but we have to require both.

Definition

Let A be an $n \times n$ square matrix. We say A is **invertible** (or **nonsingular**) if there is a matrix B of the same size, such that identity matrix

$$AB = I_n$$
 and $BA = I_n$.

 $AB = I_n$ and $BA = I_n$.

In this case, B is the **inverse** of A, and is written A^{-1} . $\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1
\end{bmatrix}$

Example

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

I claim $B = A^{-1}$. Check:

$$\begin{split} AB &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ BA &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Let
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$
. The **determinant** of A is the number
$$\det(A) = \det\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = ad - bc.$$

Facts:

1. If
$$det(A) \neq 0$$
, then A is invertible and $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{det(A)} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$.

2. If det(A) = 0, then A is not invertible.

Why 1?

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ad-bc & 0 \\ 0 & ad-bc \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

So we get the identity by dividing by ad - bc.

Why 2? Later, when we talk about determinants.

For example,

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = 1 \cdot 4 - 2 \cdot 3 = -2 \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Solving Linear Systems via Inverses

Solving Ax = b by "dividing by A"

Fact: if A is invertible, then Ax = b has exactly one solution for every b:

$$x=A^{-1}b.$$

Why?

$$Ax = b \iff A^{-1}(Ax) = A^{-1}b \iff (A^{-1}A)x = A^{-1}b$$

$$I_n x = x$$
 for every x
 $(I_n x) = A^{-1}b$ $x = A^{-1}b$.

Example

Solve the system

$$2x + 3y + 2z = 1 x + 3z = 1 2x + 2y + 3z = 1 using
$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -6 & -5 & 9 \\ 3 & 2 & -4 \\ 2 & 2 & -3 \end{pmatrix}.$$$$

could be any

other vector

Answer:
$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -6 & -5 & 9 \\ 3 & 2 & -4 \\ 2 & 2 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Some Facts

Say A and B are invertible $n \times n$ matrices.

- 1. A^{-1} is invertible and its inverse is $(A^{-1})^{-1} = A$.
- 2. AB is invertible and its inverse is $(AB)^{-1} = A^{-1}B^{-1}B^{-1}A^{-1}$.

Why?
$$(B^{-1}A^{-1})AB = B^{-1}(A^{-1}A)B = B^{-1}I_nB = B^{-1}B = I_n$$
.

3. A^{T} is invertible and $(A^{T})^{-1} = (A^{-1})^{T}$.

Why?
$$A^{T}(A^{-1})^{T} = (A^{-1}A)^{T} = I_{n}^{T} = I_{n}$$
.

Poll

If
$$A, B, C$$
 are invertible $n \times n$ matrices, what is the inverse of ABC ?

i. $A^{-1}B^{-1}C^{-1}$ ii. $B^{-1}A^{-1}C^{-1}$ iii. $C^{-1}B^{-1}A^{-1}$ iv. $C^{-1}A^{-1}B^{-1}$

$$(ABC)(C^{-1}B^{-1}A^{-1}) = AB(CC^{-1})B^{-1}A^{-1} = A(BB^{-1})A^{-1}$$

= $AA^{-1} = I_n$.

In general, a product of invertible matrices is invertible, and the inverse is the product of the inverses, in the reverse order.

Computing A^{-1}

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Here's how to compute A^{-1} .

- 1. Row reduce the augmented matrix $(A \mid I_n)$.
- 2. If the result has the form $(I_n \mid B)$, then A is invertible and $B = A^{-1}$.
- 3. Otherwise, A is not invertible.

Why Does This Work?

First answer: we can think of the algorithm as simultaneously solving the equations

$$Ax_{1} = e_{1}: \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Ax_{2} = e_{2}: \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Ax_{3} = e_{3}: \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now note $A^{-1}e_i = A^{-1}(Ax_i) = x_i$, and x_i is the *i*th column in the augmented part. Also $A^{-1}e_i$ is the *i*th column of A^{-1} .

Second answer: elementary matrices.

Elementary Matrices

Definition

An **elementary matrix** is a square matrix E which differs from I_n by one row operation.

There are three kinds:

Fact: if E is the elementary matrix for a row operation, then EA differs from A by the same row operation.

Why? Check for each type. For example:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -3 & -4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 2 \cdot 1 + 0 & 2 \cdot 0 + 1 & 2 \cdot 4 + 2 \\ 0 & -3 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 10 \\ 0 & -3 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \qquad (R_2 = R_2 + 2R_1)$$

Elementary Matrices

Fact: if E is the elementary matrix for a row operation, then EA differs from A by the same row operation.

So if you first do a row operation to I_n to get E, then you do the opposite row operation to I_n to get E', then $E'E = I_n$ (multiplication by E' undoes what you did to I_n to get E).

It follows that *elementary matrices* are invertible and that the inverse is the elementary matrix which does the opposite row operation.

Theorem

An $n \times n$ matrix A is invertible if and only if it is row equivalent to I_n . In this case, the sequence of row operations taking A to I_n also takes I_n to A^{-1} .

Why? Say the row operations taking A to I_n have elementary matrices E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_k . So

note the order!
$$\longrightarrow E_k E_{k-1} \cdots E_2 E_1 A = I_n$$

$$\implies E_k E_{k-1} \cdots E_2 E_1 A A^{-1} = A^{-1}$$

$$\implies E_k E_{k-1} \cdots E_2 E_1 I_n = A^{-1}.$$

This means if you do these same row operations to A and to I_n , you'll end up with I_n and A^{-1} . This is what you do when you row reduce the augmented matrix:

$$(A \mid I_n) \rightsquigarrow (I_n \mid A^{-1}).$$

Section 2.3

Characterization of Invertible Matrices

Invertible Transformations

Definition

A transformation $T \colon \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$ is **invertible** if there exists another transformation $U \colon \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$ such that

$$T \circ U(x) = x$$
 and $U \circ T(x) = x$

for all x in \mathbf{R}^n . In this case we say U is the **inverse** of T, and we write $U=T^{-1}$.

In other words, T(U(x)) = x, so T "undoes" U, and likewise U "undoes" T.

Fact

A transformation T is invertible if and only if it is both one-to-one and onto.

If T is one-to-one and onto, this means for every y in \mathbf{R}^n , there is a unique x in \mathbf{R}^n such that T(x) = y. Then $T^{-1}(y) = x$.

Invertible Linear Transformations

If $T: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$ is an invertible *linear* transformation with matrix A, then what is the matrix for T^{-1} ? Let's call it B. We know $T \circ T^{-1}$ has matrix AB, so for all x,

$$ABx = T \circ T^{-1}(x) = x.$$

Hence $AB = I_n$, so $B = A^{-1}$.

Fact

If $\mathcal T$ is an invertible linear transformation with matrix $\mathcal A$, then $\mathcal T^{-1}$ is an invertible linear transformation with matrix $\mathcal A^{-1}$.

The Invertible Matrix Theorem

Let A be a square $n \times n$ matrix, and let $T : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$ be the linear transformation T(x) = Ax. The following statements are equivalent.

- 1. A is invertible.
- 2. T is invertible.
- 3. A is row equivalent to I_n .
- 4. A has n pivots.
- 5. Ax = 0 has only the trivial solution.
- 6. The columns of A are linearly independent.
- 7. T is one-to-one.
- 8. Ax = b is consistent for all b in \mathbb{R}^n .
- 9. The columns of A span \mathbb{R}^n .
- **10**. *T* is onto.
- 11. A has a left inverse (there exists B such that $BA = I_n$).
- 12. A has a right inverse (there exists B such that $AB = I_n$).
- 13. A^T is invertible.

The Invertible Matrix Theorem Summary

There are two kinds of square matrices:

- 1. invertible (non-singular), and
- 2. non-invertible (singular).

For invertible matrices, all statements of the Invertible Matrix Theorem are true.

For non-invertible matrices, all statements of the Invertible Matrix Theorem are false.

I highly recommend going through them and trying to figure out on your own (or at least with help from the book) why they're all equivalent.

You know enough at this point to be able to reduce all of the statements to assertions about the pivots of a square matrix.