## Math 612

Comments on Assignment 1
Suppose that $Y$ is a surface with boundary that is obtained by removing $n$ disks from a compact oriented surface $\bar{Y}$ of genus $g$. Let $X$ be $Y$ with $n$ Möbius bands attached along its boundary:

$$
X=Y \cup_{h}\left(\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{n} M_{j}\right)
$$

where each $M_{j}$ is a Möbius band and where $h=\sqcup h_{j}$ is a homeomorphism

$$
\bigsqcup \partial M_{j} \rightarrow \partial Y
$$

that identifies the boundary of $M_{j}$ with the $j$ th boundary component of $Y$. You were asked to compute the cohomology of $X$ with $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ coefficients (and last semester, you were asked to compute the corresponding homology groups). I'll try to give an efficient account. I will not use the UCT, but you can also compute the cohomology from the homology and change from $\mathbb{Z}$ coefficients to $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ coefficients using it.

The first step is to compute $H_{\bullet}(M, \partial M ; R)$ and $H^{\bullet}(M, \partial M ; R)$ for a single Möbius band $M$. Our model for $M$ is

$$
([0,2 \pi] \times[-1,1]) / \sim
$$

where $(0, t) \sim(2 \pi,-t)$. I'll call the circle $[0,2 \pi] \times\{0\}$ the spine $S$ of $M$. The inclusion $S \hookrightarrow M$ is a homotopy equivalence as there is a retraction $r: M \rightarrow S$, which is defined by $(\theta, t) \mapsto(\theta, 0)$. The boundary $\partial M$ is also a circle. So there are isomorphisms

$$
H_{1}(S ; R)=H_{1}(M ; R) \cong R \text { and } H_{1}(\partial M ; R) \cong R .
$$

The map $\partial M \rightarrow M \rightarrow S$ is a $2: 1$ covering. Covering space theory implies (as all fundamental groups here are abelian) that (with respect to these isomorphisms)

$$
H_{1}(\partial M ; R) \rightarrow H_{1}(M ; R) \cong H_{1}(S ; R)
$$

is multiplication by $\pm 2$. Adjusting the generator, we can assume it is 2.

The homology LES for the pair $(M, \partial M)$ produces the exact sequence
$0 \rightarrow H_{2}(M, \partial M ; R) \rightarrow H_{1}(\partial M ; R) \xrightarrow{\times 2} H_{1}(M ; R) \rightarrow H_{1}(M, \partial M ; R) \rightarrow 0$
from which we conclude that

$$
H_{j}(M, \partial M ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z} / 2 & j=1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
H_{j}\left(M, \partial M ; \mathbb{F}_{2}\right) \cong \begin{cases}\mathbb{F}_{2} & j=0,1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Using the fact that for all spheres (and hence for $S^{1}$ ) the Kronecker map

$$
\kappa: H^{j}(Z ; R) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(H_{j}(Z ; \mathbb{Z}), R\right)
$$

is an isomorphism, we see that $H^{1}(M ; R)$ and $H^{1}(\partial M ; R)$ are both isomorphic to $R$ and that (for appropriate integral generators)

$$
H^{1}(M ; R) \rightarrow H^{1}(\partial M ; R)
$$

is multiplication by 2 . Plugging this into the LES
$0 \rightarrow H^{1}(M, \partial M ; R) \rightarrow H^{1}(M ; R) \xrightarrow{\times 2} H^{1}(\partial M ; R) \rightarrow H^{2}(M, \partial M ; R) \rightarrow 0$ of $(M, \partial M)$, we see that

$$
H^{j}(M, \partial M ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z} / 2 & j=2 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
H^{j}\left(M, \partial M ; \mathbb{F}_{2}\right) \cong \begin{cases}\mathbb{F}_{2} & j=0,1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Note: One good error check is to use Euler characteristic: if $X$ can be obtained from $A$ by attaching a finite number of cells and if $R$ is a PID, then

$$
\chi(X, A)=\sum_{j \geq 0} \operatorname{rank}_{R} H_{j}(X, A ; R)=\sum_{j \geq 0} \operatorname{rank}_{R} H^{j}(X, A ; R) .
$$

This does not depend on $R$. Above $\chi(M, \partial M)=\chi(M)-\chi(\partial M)=0$. You can also use the UCTs.

Back to the original problem. In my opinion, the best way to approach this is to consider the LES of the pair $(X, Y)$. Set $Z=\sqcup M_{j}$. Observe that, by excision, the inclusion

$$
(Z, \partial Z) \rightarrow(X, Y)
$$

induces an isomorphism on homology and cohomology (all coefficients).

Now consider the LES of $(X, Y)$ with $\mathbb{Z}$ coefficients. I will stick to cohomology, but you can do the homology computation as well if you like. This leads us to the diagram


I have filled in the bottom row using the computations above and using the fact that

$$
H^{\bullet}(Z, \partial Z ; R)=\bigoplus_{j} H^{\bullet}\left(M_{j}, \partial M_{j} ; R\right)
$$

Here $K_{X}$ and $K_{Y}$ are the kernels of the restriction mappings induced by $Z \rightarrow X$ and $\partial Z \rightarrow Y$, respectively. It is clear that the inclusion $H^{1}(X) \hookrightarrow H^{1}(Y)$ induces an inclusion $K_{X} \rightarrow K_{Y}$. A simple diagram chase implies that it is onto and therefore an isomorphism as indicated.
To complete the computation, we need to understand the column containing $H^{1}(Y)$. Since $\partial Z$ is identified with $\partial Y$, we can replace $\partial Z$ by $\partial Y$. Then we have the cohomology LES

$$
0 \rightarrow H^{1}(Y, \partial Y) \rightarrow H^{1}(Y) \rightarrow H^{1}(\partial Y) \rightarrow H^{2}(Y, \partial Y) \rightarrow 0
$$

Write $Y=\bar{Y}-D$, where $D$ is the union of the $n$ open disks removed from $\bar{Y}$ to obtain $Y$. Excision then implies that

$$
H^{2}(Y, \partial Y) \cong H^{2}(\bar{Y}, D) \cong H^{2}(\bar{Y}) \cong \mathbb{Z}
$$

This implies that the image of $H^{1}(Y) \rightarrow H^{1}(\partial Z)$ is the kernel of the "trace map"

$$
H^{1}(\partial Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right) \mapsto \sum_{j} k_{j}
$$

and that the image of $H^{1}(X) \rightarrow H^{2}(\partial Z)$ is $2 \times$ the kernel of the trace map. It also implies (via the diagram) that the image of $H^{1}(Y) \rightarrow$ $H^{2}(Z, \partial Z)$ is the kernel of the $\bmod 2$ reduction

$$
H^{2}(Z, \partial Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2
$$

It follows that $H^{2}(X ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2$.
Assembling this, we see that

$$
H^{j}(X ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z} & j=0 \\ \mathbb{Z}^{2 g+n-1} & j=1 \\ \mathbb{Z} / 2 & j=2 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

A similar, but simpler, argument can be used to show that

$$
H^{j}\left(X ; \mathbb{F}_{2}\right) \cong \begin{cases}\mathbb{F}_{2} & j=0 \\ \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2 g+n} & j=1 \\ \mathbb{F}_{2} & j=2 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

You should try to give an efficient (and convincing) proofs that

$$
H_{j}(X ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z} & j=0 \\ \mathbb{Z}^{2 g+n-1} \oplus \mathbb{Z} / 2 & j=1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
H_{j}\left(X ; \mathbb{F}_{2}\right) \cong \begin{cases}\mathbb{F}_{2} & j=0 \\ \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2 g+n} & j=1 \\ \mathbb{F}_{2} & j=2 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Note that these are compatible with both UCTs. You can also compute the Euler characteristic using any of these. They should all give the same answer, namely $\chi(X)=2-(2 g+n)$. You can see directly that this is the Euler characteristic using the formula

$$
\chi(A \cup B)=\chi(A)+\chi(B)-\chi(A \cup B)
$$

and the fact that $\chi(M)=\chi(M \cap Y)=0$, so that

$$
\chi(X)=\chi(Y \cup M)=\chi(Y)=2-(2 g+n) .
$$

