

# MATH 545, Stochastic Calculus

## Problem set 1

January 27, 2006

These problems are due on THU Jan 24. You can give them to me in class, drop them in my box. In all of the problems  $\mathbb{E}$  denotes the expected value with respect to the specified probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$ .

**Problem 0.** Read [Klebaner], Chapter 2 (by JAN 22), Chapter 3 (by JAN 29) (terminology:  $\sigma$ -field =  $\sigma$ -algebra)

**Problem 1.** Consider the following probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  where  $\Omega = \{(\omega_0, \omega_1) : \omega_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}\}$ . Take of each of the  $\omega_i$  to be mutually independent with  $\mathbb{P}[\omega_i = 0] = 1/2$  and  $\mathbb{P}[\omega_i = \pm 1] = 1/4$ . ( $\mathcal{F}$  is just the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the collection of single points, but this is not important).

For  $n = 0$  or  $1$  define the random variables  $X_n$  by  $X_0 = \omega_0$  and  $X_1 = \omega_0\omega_1$ .

a) What is  $\mathbb{P}[X_1 = 1]$  ? What is  $\mathbb{E}[X_0]$  ?

Clearly  $\mathbb{E}[X_0] = 0$  and  $\mathbb{P}[X_1 = 1] = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{8}$ .

b) Let  $A$  be the event that  $\{X_1 \neq 0\}$ . What is  $\sigma(A)$  ?

It is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\{(1, 0), (-1, 0), (0, 0), (0, 1), (0, -1)\}, \{(1, 1), (-1, -1), (-1, 1), (1, -1)\}$

c) What is  $\sigma(X_0)$  and  $\sigma(X_1)$  ?

The  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $X_1$  is the one generated by

$\{(1, 0), (-1, 0), (0, 0), (0, 1), (0, -1)\}, \{(1, 1), (-1, -1)\}, \{(-1, 1), (1, -1)\}$

and the one generated by  $X_0$  is generated by

$\{(0, 0), (0, 1), (0, -1)\}, \{(1, 0), (1, -1), (1, 1)\}, \{(-1, 1), (-1, -1), (-1, 0)\}$ .

d) What is  $\mathbb{E}[X_1|A]$  ? What is  $\mathbb{E}[X_1|X_0]$  ?

It suffices to average over the three different sets generating the  $\sigma$ -algebra: by symmetry  $\mathbb{E}[X_1|A] = \mathbb{E}[X_1|X_0] = 0$ .

**Problem 2.** Consider the sample space  $\Omega = \{\omega_i\}_{i=1}^3$  with  $\omega_i$  are independent identically distributed according to

$$\omega_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } 1/2 \\ -1 & \text{with probability } 1/2 \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, define  $X_n(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i$  and let  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \sigma(X_1)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_{12} = \sigma(\{\omega_1, \omega_2\})$  (the sigma algebras generated by the outcome of the first flip and of the first two flips respectively). Compute

a)  $\mathbb{E}[X_3|X_1]$

Similarly to what was done in class we can write:

$$\mathbb{E}[X_3|X_1] = \mathbb{E}[X_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3|X_1] = \mathbb{E}[X_1|X_1] + \mathbb{E}[\omega_2 + \omega_3|X_1] = X_1 + \mathbb{E}[\omega_2 + \omega_3] = X_1$$

b)  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X_3|\mathcal{F}_1]|\mathcal{F}_{12}]$

Since  $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{12}$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X_3|\mathcal{F}_1]|\mathcal{F}_{12}] = \mathbb{E}[X_3|\mathcal{F}_1] = \mathbb{E}[X_3|X_1] = X_1$$

c)  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X_3|\mathcal{F}_{12}]|\mathcal{F}_1]$

In this case we have that  $\mathbb{E}[X_3|\mathcal{F}_{12}] = \mathbb{E}[X_2 + \omega_3|\mathcal{F}_{12}] = \mathbb{E}[X_2|\mathcal{F}_{12}] + \mathbb{E}[\omega_3|\mathcal{F}_{12}] = X_2$  so that

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X_3|\mathcal{F}_{12}]|\mathcal{F}_1] = \mathbb{E}[X_2|\mathcal{F}_1] = \mathbb{E}[X_1|X_1] + \mathbb{E}[\omega_2|X_1] = X_1$$

d) check that the answer to the above two points is the same (tower property)

Trivial

Suggestion: write a random variable  $Y$  (conditional expectations are random variables!) on  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  with a countable number of elements as

$$Y(\omega) = \sum_k 1_{A_k}(\omega) a_k \quad \text{for some } A_k \in \mathcal{F}, a_k \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $1_A(\omega)$  is the indicator function on the set  $A$ .

**Problem 3.** For a general probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , prove the Chebychev inequality:

$$\mathbb{P}[|X| \geq \lambda] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[|X|^p]}{\lambda^p}$$

for all  $1 \leq p < \infty$  as well as its exponential form

$$\mathbb{P}[|X| \geq \lambda] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{k|X|}]}{e^{k\lambda}}$$

when the integrals on the right are finite. Here  $k$  and  $\lambda$  are positive constants. (Hint: denoting by  $\mathbb{1}\{A\}$  the indicator function on the set  $A$  combine the facts that  $\mathbb{P}[|X| \geq \lambda] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}\{|X| \geq \lambda\}]$ ,  $\mathbb{1}\{|X| \geq \lambda\} \lambda^p \leq \mathbb{1}\{|X| \geq \lambda\} |X|^p$ , and  $1 = \mathbb{1}\{|X| \geq \lambda\} + \mathbb{1}\{|X| < \lambda\}$ .)

We prove the first of the two inequalities, the second is proven following the same steps. We note that

$$X(\omega)^p \geq \lambda^p \mathbb{1}_{X \geq \lambda}(\omega)$$

for any  $p > 0$  and therefore we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X^p] \geq \mathbb{E}[\lambda^p \mathbb{1}_{X \geq \lambda}] = \lambda^p \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{X \geq \lambda}] = \lambda^p \mathbb{P}[X \geq \lambda].$$

**Problem 4.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space and let  $A_k, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  be a sequence of events in  $\mathcal{F}$  for which

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[A_k] < \infty$$

Prove the (first) Borell-Cantelli lemma which says that

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=N}^{\infty} A_k \right] = 0$$

Interpret this event whose probability is zero. (Say something like “it is the event in which all of the events  $A_k$  happen”. This of course not the right answer.)

There are different proofs of this lemma. We report one below.

Let  $\{A_n\}$  be a sequence of events in some probability space and suppose that the sum of the probabilities of the events  $A_n$  is finite. That is suppose:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) < \infty.$$

As the series converges we must have that

$$\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore :

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = 0.$$

Combining the above results with the subadditivity property of  $\mathbb{P}$ , *i.e.*, that for all sequences  $\{A_n\}$  of sets (not necessarily disjoint) we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} A_n \right) \leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left( \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n \right) &= \mathbb{P}(\text{infinitely many of the } A_n \text{ occur}) = \mathbb{P} \left( \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} A_n \right) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left( \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} A_n \right) \leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us use this result: Let  $X_k$  be a sequence of random variables and suppose that there is another random variable  $X$  such that if

$$A_k = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid |X_k(\omega) - X(\omega)| > \delta\}$$

then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[A_k] < \infty$$

for all  $\delta > 0$ . Show that  $X_n \rightarrow X$  with probability one. (That is the set of  $\omega$  so that  $X_n(\omega) \rightarrow X(\omega)$  has measure (probability) one.)

Basically, the first Borel-Cantelli lemma is the principle means by which information about expectations can be converted into almost sure information.

Here, we have convergence if for any  $\delta$ , the event  $\omega \in A_k$  happens only finitely many times. By assumption, however, we have  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[A_k] < \infty$  which implies, by Borel Cantelli, that such event has probability 1.

More precisely by definition of limit,  $\omega \in \{\lim_n X_n = X\}$  if and only if for all  $m \geq 1$  there exists  $n \geq 1$  such that for every  $i \geq n$  it holds  $|X_i(\omega) - X(\omega)| \leq 1/m$ . This is true if and only if

$$\omega \in \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{i=n}^{\infty} (A_i(1/m))^c = \left( \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \limsup_n A_n(1/m) \right)^c.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}(\lim_n X_n = X) = 1 - \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \limsup_n A_n(1/m)\right) \geq 1 - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\limsup_n A_n(1/m)) = 1.$$

**Problem 5.** If  $\mathcal{F}_i, i \in I$  for some index set  $I$ , are  $\sigma$ -algebras on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , show that  $\mathcal{G} := \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

It suffices to verify that the intersection of sets in the two original  $\sigma$ -algebras are in the new  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Problem 6** (optional). Consider the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  where

$$\Omega = [0, 1], \quad \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}, \quad \mathbb{P} = \text{Lebesgue measure},$$

where  $\mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}$  denote the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $[0, 1]$ . Consider the following two random variables defined on this probability space.

$$X(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \omega \in [0, 0.5) \\ 0, & \text{if } \omega \in [0.5, 1] \end{cases} \quad Y(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \omega \in [0, 0.25) \cup [0.5, 0.75) \\ 0, & \text{if } \omega \in [0.25, 0.5) \cup [0.75, 1] \end{cases}$$

- Check that  $X$  and  $Y$  have the same distribution.
- Compute  $\mathbb{P}[X = Y]$ .
- Write down the sets that are contained in  $\sigma(X)$  and  $\sigma(Y)$ , respectively.
- Show that  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent.
- Explain how to define a sequence of random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ , so that (i) they all have the same distribution as  $X$  and (ii) they are mutually independent.

**Problem 7** (optional, hard, requires book). Consider a random variable  $X$  on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset \mathcal{F}$  be two sub  $\sigma$ -algebras so that  $\mathcal{G}_1$  is independent from  $\sigma(\mathcal{G}_2, \sigma(X))$ . Show that (this corresponds to property 5, equation (2.22) on pp. 45 of [Klebaner])

$$\mathbb{E}[X | \sigma(\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2)] = \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{G}_2], \quad \text{a.s.}$$

**Remark.** A definition for the *independence* of two  $\sigma$ -algebras (i.e.,  $\sigma$ -fields) is given on page 39 of [Klebaner]. A random variable  $X$  is independent of a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  if its generated  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\sigma(X)$  is independent of  $\mathcal{G}$ .